

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/714,726	11/16/2000	Leland James Wiesehuegel	AUS9-2000-0736-US1 9483	
7:	590 05/04/2004		EXAM	INER
Robert H Frantz			HAMILTON, LALITA M	
P O Box 23324 Oklahoma City, OK 73123-2334			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, 011 10120 2001		3624	
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/714,726	WIESEHUEGEL ET AL.			
	· ·	Examiner	Art Unit			
<u> </u>		Lalita M Hamilton	3624 MU			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on amei	ndment filed on December 19, 2	<u>003</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5) <u>□</u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachmen	nt(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) Infor	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
S Patent and T	Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 3624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Summary

On October 4, 2003, an Office Action was sent to the Applicant rejecting claims 1-23. On December 19, 2003, the Applicant responded by amending claims 1-3, 6-7, 9-15, 17, and 22-23. In the response, the Applicant requested an interview to be conducted prior to the next Office Action. The Examiner granted this request on April 28, 2004, and an interview summary is attached to the Office Action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker (6,085,169) in view Fisher (5,835,896).

In response to the amendment, Walker discloses the invention substantially as claimed; however, Walker does not disclose the process of "subsequent to said preparation of entitled offerings but prior to receiving any bids to purchase any offered items, presenting via a computer user interface said entitled offerings to one of more prospective brokers such that each broker may review entitled offerings containing information regarding items being offered for sale on which the broker is entitled to bid, but are not allowed to view information regarding items to which each broker is not entitled to bid". Fisher teaches a method for processing and transmitting electronic

Application/Control Number: 09/714,726

Art Unit: 3624

auction information comprising the process of "subsequent to said preparation of entitled offerings but prior to receiving any bids to purchase any offered items, presenting via a computer user interface said entitled offerings to one of more prospective brokers such that each broker may review entitled offerings containing information regarding items being offered for sale on which the broker is entitled to bid, but are not allowed to view information regarding items to which each broker is not entitled to bid" (col.4, line 45 to col.5, line 27). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the process of presenting the offerings for review prior to receiving bids, as taught by Fisher into the process disclosed by Walker, as an additional rule that may be submitted by the customer when attempting to purchase an item, so that the customer may improve their chances of purchasing the exact item desired.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/714,726

Art Unit: 3624

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalita M Hamilton whose telephone number is (703) 306-5715. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday (8:30-4:30).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

I MH

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Vines I Milla

Page 4